

Third Edition

HARRY PHILLIPS  
PATRICIA BOSTIAN

# The Purposeful Argument

A PRACTICAL GUIDE





# The Purposeful Argument: A Practical Guide



All illustrations by iStock.com/A-digit

Third Edition

**Harry R. Phillips**

**Patricia Bostian**

*Central Piedmont Community College*



 **CENGAGE**

Australia • Brazil • Mexico • Singapore • United Kingdom • United States

Copyright 2021 Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved. May not be copied, scanned, or duplicated, in whole or in part. WCN 02-200-203

Copyright 2021 Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved. May not be copied, scanned, or duplicated, in whole or in part. Due to electronic rights, some third party content may be suppressed from the eBook and/or eChapter(s). Editorial review has deemed that any suppressed content does not materially affect the overall learning experience. Cengage Learning reserves the right to remove additional content at any time if subsequent rights restrictions require it.

This is an electronic version of the print textbook. Due to electronic rights restrictions, some third party content may be suppressed. Editorial review has deemed that any suppressed content does not materially affect the overall learning experience. The publisher reserves the right to remove content from this title at any time if subsequent rights restrictions require it. For valuable information on pricing, previous editions, changes to current editions, and alternate formats, please visit [www.cengage.com/highered](http://www.cengage.com/highered) to search by ISBN#, author, title, or keyword for materials in your areas of interest.

Important Notice: Media content referenced within the product description or the product text may not be available in the eBook version.

***The Purposeful Argument:  
A Practical Guide, Third Edition***  
**Harry Phillips, Patricia Bostian**

Product Team Director: Catherine Van Der Laan

Product Manager: Nancy Tran

Learning Designer: Leslie Taggart

Senior Content Manager: Kathy Sands-Boehmer

Product Assistant: Tran Pham

Art Director: Lizz Anderson

Digital Delivery Lead: Matt Altieri

Manufacturing Buyer: Karen Hunt

Intellectual Property Analyst: Ann Hoffman

Senior Intellectual Property Project Manager: Betsy Hathaway

Executive Marketing Manager: Kina Lara

Associate Marketing Development Manager: Camille Beckman

Production Service: MPS Limited

Text Designer: Shawn Girsberger

Cover Designer: Lizz Anderson

Cover Image: iStock.com/A-digit

Compositor: MPS Limited

© 2021, 2015, 2012 Cengage Learning, Inc.

Unless otherwise noted, all content is © Cengage.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this work covered by the copyright herein may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, except as permitted by U.S. copyright law, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

For product information and technology assistance, contact us at  
**Cengage Customer & Sales Support, 1-800-354-9706** or  
**support.cengage.com.**

For permission to use material from this text or product,  
submit all requests online at  
**www.cengage.com/permissions.**

Library of Congress Control Number: 2019911091

Student Edition:  
ISBN-13: 978-0-357-13866-3  
ISBN-10: 0-357-13866-3

Loose-leaf Edition:  
ISBN: 978-0-357-13867-0

**Cengage**  
200 Pier 4 Boulevard  
Boston, MA 02210  
USA

Cengage is a leading provider of customized learning solutions with employees residing in nearly 40 different countries and sales in more than 25 countries around the world. Find your local representative at **www.cengage.com.**

Cengage Learning products are represented in Canada by Nelson Education, Ltd.

To learn more about Cengage platforms and services, register or access your online learning solutions, or purchase materials for your course, visit **www.cengage.com.**

**Instructors:** Please visit **login.cengage.com** and log in to access instructor-specific resources.

Printed in the United States of America  
Print Number: 01      Print Year: 2019

# BRIEF CONTENTS

## **Preface xv**

### **PART 1 How to Approach Argument in Real Life 1**

- 1 Argue with a Purpose 2
- 2 Explore an Issue That Matters to You 21

### **PART 2 How to Establish Context Through Research 51**

- 3 Develop a Research Plan 52
- 4 Evaluate and Engage with Your Sources 80
- 5 Read Critically and Avoid Fallacies 110
- 6 Work Fairly with the Opposition 136

### **PART 3 How to Plan, Structure, and Deliver an Argument 155**

- 7 Explore an Issue 156
- 8 Consider Toulmin-Based Argument 187
- 9 Consider Middle-Ground Argument, Rogerian Argument, and Argument Based on a Microhistory 205
- 10 Build Arguments 241
- 11 Support an Argument with Fact (*Logos*), Credibility (*Ethos*), and Emotion (*Pathos*) 273

### **PART 4 How to Take Ownership of Your Argument: A Style Guide 299**

- 12 Enhance Your Argument with Visuals 300
- 13 Develop and Edit Argument Structure and Style 319

### **PART 5 An Anthology of Arguments 353**

### **PART 6 MLA and APA Documentation Systems 479**

- Glossary 518  
Index 523



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Preface xv

## PART 1 How to Approach Argument in Real Life 1

### 1 Argue with a Purpose 2

What Argument Is and What Argument Is Not 3

*Excerpt from “The Price of Admission,” by Thomas Frank 4*

Recognize Where Argument Is Appropriate in Real Life 6

Argue about Issues That Matter to You 7

Establish Local Context via the Research Process 10

*Determine Your Audience 10*

*Establish Local Context for Your Issue 11*

*Connect Local and Global Contexts 13*

Recognize Why Arguments Break Down 15

*Arguments Break Down When They Do Not Persuade an Audience 15*

*Arguments Break Down When There Is a Lack of Balance in the Support 15*

*Arguments Break Down When the Audience Is Poorly Defined 15*

*Arguments Break Down When They Contain Fallacies 16*

*Arguments Break Down When They Do Not Fairly Represent Opposing Views 16*

Match Argument with Purpose 16

*Toulmin-Based Argument 17*

*Middle-Ground Argument 18*

*Rogerian Argument 18*

*Argument Based on a Microhistory 19*

## 2 Explore an Issue that Matters to You 21

### Determine What Matters to You and Why 23

*School/Academic* 23

*Workplace* 24

*Family/Household* 24

*Neighborhood* 25

*Social/Cultural* 25

*Consumer* 25

*Concerned Citizen* 26

### Choose an Issue within a Topic 27

#### Pre-Think about Your Issue 29

*Brainstorming* 29

*Freewriting* 30

*Mapping* 30

*Move from Boring to Interesting* 30

### Define and Target Your Audience 32

#### Stake, Defend, and Justify Your Claim 34

*Develop a Claim, Reasons, and Qualifiers* 34

*Argue with a Purpose* 36

### Vary the Types of Support You Bring to an Argument 37

*Support Based on Fact* 37

*Support Based on Your Character* 37

*Support Based on the Emotions of an Audience* 38

*Working with a Target Audience: Two Examples* 38

### Argue at the Right Moment 46

#### Getting Started 47



iStock.com/A-digital

## PART 2 How to Establish Context Through Research 51

### 3 Develop a Research Plan 52

#### Use Reference Works, Encyclopedias, and Topic Overviews Profitably 54

*Read an Overview of Your Topic* 54

*Gather Search Terms* 55

#### Use Search Engines to Find Internet Sources on the Surface Web and on the Deep Web 57

*Search the Surface Web* 57

*Search the Deep Web* 60



|  |    |
|--|----|
| Perform Keyword Queries                              | 61 |
| Find News Sites and Use RSS Feeds to Receive Updates | 63 |
| Find and Use Databases in Libraries                  | 65 |
| Find and Use Primary Sources                         | 69 |
| <i>Find and Use Government Sources</i>               | 71 |
| <i>Find and Use Multimedia Sources</i>               | 72 |
| Find Books   | 75 |
| <i>Find Books in Libraries</i>                       | 76 |
| <i>Find Books on the Internet</i>                    | 77 |

## **4 Evaluate and Engage with Your Sources 80**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Take Notes, Read Critically, and Evaluate Internet Sites | 81  |
| <i>Critically Read Material on the Internet</i>          | 82  |
| <i>Evaluate Internet Sites</i>                           | 83  |
| Take Notes, Read Critically, and Evaluate Articles       | 84  |
| <i>Read Articles Critically</i>                          | 85  |
| <i>Reading Strategies for Longer Articles</i>            | 86  |
| <i>Evaluate Articles</i>                                 | 90  |
| Take Notes and Read Books Critically                     | 90  |
| Take Notes and Evaluate Primary Sources                  | 91  |
| Introduce and Comment on Sources                         | 92  |
| Quote and Cite Quotations                                | 95  |
| <i>Quoting Material Quoted in the Original Source</i>    | 98  |
| <i>Alter Quoted Material</i>                             | 99  |
| Summarize and Cite Summaries                             | 100 |
| Summary Checklist  | 100 |
| Paraphrase and Cite Paraphrases                          | 101 |
| Avoid Plagiarism   | 105 |
| Documentation: Works Cited Page                          | 107 |

## **5 Read Critically and Avoid Fallacies 110**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Define Fallacies   | 111 |
| Identify and Avoid Fallacies                                 | 112 |
| Avoid Fallacies of Choice                                    | 114 |
| <i>Blanket Statement</i>                                     | 115 |
| <i>False Dilemma, Either–Or, and Misuse of Occam’s Razor</i> | 116 |
| <i>Slippery Slope</i>  | 117 |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Avoid Fallacies of Support</b>                                    | <b>119</b> |
| <i>Circular Argument</i>   | 119        |
| <i>Hasty Generalization and Jumping to Conclusions</i>               | 120        |
| <i>Faulty Causality: Post Hoc, Ergo Propter Hoc</i>                  | 120        |
| <i>Non Sequitur, Red Herring, and False Clue</i>                     | 121        |
| <i>Straw Man Argument or Argument Built on a False Fact or Claim</i> | 122        |
| <b>Avoid Fallacies of Emotion</b>                                    | <b>124</b> |
| <i>Ad Hominem</i>  | 124        |
| <i>Testimonials and False Authority</i>                              | 125        |
| <i>Bandwagon</i>   | 126        |
| <i>Ad Misericordiam</i>  | 126        |
| <i>Scare Tactics</i>   | 126        |
| <b>Avoid Fallacies of Inconsistency</b>                              | <b>128</b> |
| <i>Moral Equivalence</i>   | 129        |
| <i>Material Equivalence</i>  | 129        |
| <i>Definitional Equivalence</i>                                      | 129        |
| <i>Inconsistent Treatment (from Dogmatism, Prejudice, and Bias)</i>  | 130        |
| <i>Equivocation</i>  | 130        |
| <i>False Analogy</i>   | 131        |

## **6 Work Fairly with the Opposition 136**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Why the Opposition Matters                        | 138 |
| Resist Easy Generalizations                       | 139 |
| Listen to Local Voices                            | 140 |
| Summarize Other Voices Fairly                     | 141 |
| Value Expertise over Advocacy                     | 145 |
| Avoid Bias When You Summarize                     | 146 |
| Find Points of Overlap                            | 148 |
| <i>Identify Common Ground with the Opposition</i> | 148 |
| Respond to Other Views                            | 151 |

## **PART 3 How to Plan, Structure, and Deliver an Argument 155**

### **7 Explore an Issue 156**

|                                  |     |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Use Definitions                  | 157 |
| <i>Seven Types of Definition</i> | 160 |

Discover Causes or Consequences 164

Present Comparisons 168

Propose a Solution 170

*Section A: Exploring the Problem* 171

*Section B: Different Types of Exploration* 173

*Section C: Exploring Implementation* 178

Evaluate Your Claim 181

Write an Exploratory Essay 183

*Sample Exploratory Essay* 184

## **8 Consider Toulmin-Based Argument 187**

Construct an Argument to Fit Your Purpose 189

Terms of Toulmin-Based Argument 189

*Claim* 191

*Reasons* 191

*Support* 191

*Warrant* 192

*Backing* 192

*Rebuttal* 192

*Qualifiers* 193

Map a Toulmin-Based Argument 194

Student-Authored Toulmin-Based Argument 198

## **9 Consider Middle-Ground Argument, Rogerian Argument, and Argument Based on a Microhistory 205**

Middle-Ground Argument 207

*Make a Middle-Ground Position Practical* 207

*Recognize Where Middle-Ground Arguments Are Possible* 208

*Map a Middle-Ground Argument* 209

Student-Authored Middle-Ground Argument 214

Rogerian Argument 219

*Listen Closely to the Opposition* 219

*Identify Common Ground* 221

*Map a Rogerian Argument* 223

Sample Rogerian Argument 227

Argument Based on a Microhistory 228

*Focus on the Local and Specific* 228



iStock.com/A-digit

*Make Room for Local Histories* 229

*Work with Primary Materials* 231

*Subjects and Materials for Microhistories* 232

*Map an Argument Based on a Microhistory* 233

Sample Argument Based on a Microhistory 236

## 10 Build Arguments 241

How a Claim Functions 243

*Claim: The Center of Your Argument* 243

*Connect Claim with Purpose* 245

Five Kinds of Claims 246

*Claim of Fact* 246

*Claim of Definition* 248

*Problem-Based Claims* 250

*Claim of Evaluation* 251

*Claim of Cause* 253

Use Reasons to Support Your Claim 254

Build Body Paragraphs around Reasons 257

Use Qualifiers to Make Your Argument Believable 259

Justify Your Claim with a Warrant 261

Use Your Audience to Construct a Warrant 262

*Know What Your Audience Values* 262

*Let a Warrant Bridge Claim and Support* 263

Use Backing to Support a Warrant 265

*Let Your Audience Determine the Extent of Backing* 266

*Make Backing Specific* 267

Respond to Audience Reservations to Make a Warrant Believable 269

## 11 Support an Argument with Fact (*Logos*), Credibility (*Ethos*), and Emotion (*Pathos*) 273

Field-Specific Support 274

*Find Support for the Physical Sciences* 275

*Find Support for Education, History, and Social and Behavioral Sciences* 277

*Find Sources for the Humanities and the Arts* 279

Use All Three General Kinds of Support 281

Use Support Based on Facts and Research (*Logos*) 282

*Facts and Opinions* 282



iStock.com/A-droit

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Statistics</i>                                  | 284 |
| <i>Scholarly Articles</i>                          | 288 |
| Use Support to Create Credibility ( <i>Ethos</i> ) | 289 |
| Use Support to Create Emotion ( <i>Pathos</i> )    | 292 |
| <i>Anecdotes</i>                                   | 293 |
| <i>Photographs</i>                                 | 294 |
| <i>Using Humor in Your Arguments</i>               | 294 |

## **PART 4** How to Take Ownership of Your Argument: A Style Guide 299

### **12** Enhance Your Argument With Visuals 300

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| What Are Visual Arguments?                                  | 301 |
| Understanding and Using Visual Arguments                    | 303 |
| <i>Reading Photographs and Illustrations</i>                | 308 |
| <i>Using Photographs and Illustrations in Your Argument</i> | 311 |
| <i>Reading Graphs and Charts</i>                            | 312 |
| <i>Using and Creating Graphs in Your Argument</i>           | 314 |
| <i>Reading Advertisements</i>                               | 316 |

### **13** Develop and Edit Argument Structure and Style 319

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Consider Your Argument's Claim                   | 320 |
| <i>Introduce Your Claim</i>                      | 320 |
| <i>State Your Claim</i>                          | 323 |
| <i>Position Your Claim</i>                       | 326 |
| Introduce Your Counterarguments                  | 329 |
| <i>The Counterargument Is Incorrect</i>          | 330 |
| <i>The Counterargument Is Correct, But . . .</i> | 330 |
| Create Strong Introductions                      | 331 |
| <i>Anecdote</i>                                  | 332 |
| <i>Misdirection</i>                              | 332 |
| <i>Conflict</i>                                  | 333 |
| <i>Suspense</i>                                  | 334 |
| <i>A Seeming Impossibility</i>                   | 335 |
| Write Memorable Conclusions                      | 336 |
| <i>Broadening Out</i>                            | 336 |
| <i>Opposition</i>                                | 337 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <i>Circling Back</i>                                    | 338        |
| <b>Edit and Organize Your Argument's Support</b>        | <b>339</b> |
| <i>Edit Support</i>                                     | 339        |
| <i>Organize Your Support</i>                            | 342        |
| <i>Three Organization Samples of Body Paragraphs</i>    | 343        |
| <b>Supply a Strong Title</b>                            | <b>346</b> |
| <b>Participate Effectively in a Peer Review Session</b> | <b>348</b> |
| <i>Your Role as a Reviewer</i>                          | 348        |
| <i>Your Role as a Reviewee</i>                          | 350        |



iStock.com/A-digit

## **PART 5** An Anthology of Arguments 353

### **Intersections** Contemporary Issues and Arguments 354

#### **Anthology 1: School and Academic Community** 354

- Karoun Demirjian, *What is the Price of Plagiarism?* 354
- Jon Marcus and Holly K. Hacker, *The Rich-Poor Divide on America's College Campuses is Getting Wider, Fast* 357
- Keith Ellison, *The Argument for Tuition-Free College* 365
- Katie Reilly, *Record Numbers of College Students Are Seeking Treatment for Depression and Anxiety—But Schools Can't Keep Up* 368

#### **Anthology 2: Workplace Community** 374

- Gar Alperovitz and Keane Bhatt, *Employee-Owned Businesses Ignored by Mainstream Media* 374
- Tim Kastle, *Hierarchy Is Overrated* 376
- Jan Edwards and Molly Morgan, *Abolish Corporate Personhood (Thinking Politically)* 380
- Rich Meneghello, *Solutions at Work: When Love Enters the Workplace* 386

#### **Anthology 3: Family and Household Community** 390

- Sue Ferguson, *Leaving the Doors Open* 390
- Jewel, *Street Life Is No Life for Children* 393
- Richard Louv, *Introduction from Last Child in the Woods* 396
- Dahr Jamail, *A Morally Bankrupt Military: When Soldiers and Their Families Become Expendable* 399

#### **Anthology 4: Neighborhood Community** 405

- Barbara Nichols, *Airbnb is Crashing the Neighborhood* 405
- Eleanor Novek, *You Wouldn't Fit Here* 408
- James Q. Wilson, *Bowling with Others* 413
- Noah Smith, *The Poor Don't Deserve Toxic-Waste Dumps in Their Backyards* 420

#### **Anthology 5: Social/Cultural Community** 423

- Daniel J. Solove, *Why "Security" Keeps Winning Out Over Privacy* 423
- Vince Dixon, *The Case Against Tipping in America* 426

Ronald Davis, *My Truth About Being A Black Man And A Black Cop* 433

Jeff Yang, *Killer Reflection* 436

### Anthology 6: Consumer Community 441

Judith Simmer Brown, *A Buddhist Perspective on Consumerism* 441

Matt Stannard, *Seizing the Public Banking Moment* 445

Andy Kroll, *How the McEconomy Bombed the American Worker: The Hollowing Out of the Middle Class* 449

Dali L. Yang, *Outsourcing Compromises the Safety and Quality of Products* 453

### Anthology 7: Concerned Citizen Community 460

Harry Binswanger, *The United States Should Adopt Open Immigration* 460

James L. Dickerson, *Climate Change Could Cause Disease Resurgence* 465

David Howard, *Automatic Voter Registration: A Rational Solution to an Irrational Problem* 469

David Kelley, *Private Charity Should Replace Welfare* 473

### Anthology 8: Classic American Arguments MindTap

Susan B. Anthony, *On Women's Right to Vote*

Mary Antin, *Have We Any Right to Regulate Immigration?*

Alexander Hamilton, *The Federalist No. 6*

Thomas Jefferson, *In Congress, July 4, 1776*

H. L. Mencken, *The Penalty of Death*

Judith Sargent Murray, *On the Equality of the Sexes*

Leo Szilard And Cosigners, *A Petition to the President of the United States*

Sojourner Truth, *Ain't I a Woman?*

Booker T. Washington, *Atlanta Compromise Address*

## PART 6 MLA and APA Documentation Systems 479

APPENDIX A MLA Documentation and the List of Works Cited 480

APPENDIX B APA Documentation and the Reference List 500

Glossary 518

Index 523





# PREFACE

## Purpose

This third edition of *The Purposeful Argument* delivers the essentials of argumentative writing in accessible, student-friendly language. The textbook allows writers to recognize where argument fits in their lives and how it can be a practical response both to the issues in everyday life and to academic and intellectual problems encountered in the classroom. In this way, the text meets student writers on their own terms, in their own lives, and demands that they determine what they argue about. Changes to this new edition reflect the suggestions of our students and those of veteran teachers of argument, who are sensitive to what makes a textbook genuinely useful.

The philosophical center of *The Purposeful Argument* rests with John Dewey's notion that public education can best serve a democratic culture when it connects classroom with community and by thinking of the class-room as a laboratory for intelligent democratic activity. Building on this idea, those who argue competently can become the lifeblood of local action and change. Put another way, a nation, state, or community that does not engage purposefully in regular discussion and informed argument cannot fulfill itself.

Accessibility is central to the purpose of this project, and this third edition includes a streamlining of many features of the textbook. From many students' perspectives, some current argument texts are dense and filled with examples apart from their worlds. In response to these concerns, *The Purposeful Argument* relies less on discussion via traditional academic language to get across a concept and more on cogent definition, explicit example, and practical exercises that guide student writers through the process of assembling an argument. Examples of student, local, and professional writing are in many cases annotated and color-coded so as to identify elements of argument structure.

From another perspective, *The Purposeful Argument* puts in place the groundwork for student writers to create possibilities for themselves in a culture that demands more and more from its citizens. When so much of what we encounter has to do with the lure of consumption, and when so much of our national discourse is riveted to economic conditions, job security, and terror and intervention, it can be tough for freshman writers to

think of themselves as agents capable of meaningful change. But at its core, *The Purposeful Argument* argues this very position. In its purest moment, this guide enables student writers to establish rhetorical places for themselves that ideally can reinvigorate our democracy via responsible citizenship. Because communication is less local in advanced industrial nations, this project invites a return to a more traditional form of democratic participation with its attention to local engagement. And local engagement can begin with a writer's commitment to the idea that the private responsibility to argue is essential to the public good.

With this emphasis on local engagement, we have noticed stronger, more focused arguments in the past several years. In general, when students are encouraged to honor and respond to issues that matter to them, their investment becomes evident and their writing, purposeful. This kind of ownership, we believe, results from an approach that steers writers into issues originating in the larger worlds of political, economic, and social issues as well as into their own worlds and concerns. With some students, this means arguing on issues that are solidly academic and intellectual in nature; with others, it means tackling issues of immediate concern in everyday life. Thus, compelling writing has emerged on issues as varied as the U. S. Supreme Court's ruling on corporate personhood, student loan requirements, China's behavior at the climate change conference in Copenhagen, favoritism in the workplace, recent health care reform and its implications for students, social networking and employment, religious values and curriculum design in Texas, and American consumers' role in the mining of "conflict minerals" in the Republic of the Congo.

A central focus of *The Purposeful Argument* is our intention to write to our specific audience—first-year writers—and this means delivering the fundamentals of argument to many nontraditional students, to nonnative speakers of English, to parents, to students who work one or more jobs, often in excess of the traditional work week, and to students who may or may not have experience with conceptual material and its application in their academic careers. This book is structured to accommodate our students and the diverse life experience they bring to our classrooms. Following are features of *The Purposeful Argument* that, in our view, distinguish it from the many excellent argument textbooks currently on the market—textbooks that may, however, fall outside the lines of accessibility and usefulness to many college students.

## Organization and Chapter Flow

Part One of this guide attends to how effective arguments work. Chapter 1 introduces readers to essential features of argument and their interrelatedness. The chapter's sections move students into thinking about argument as a practical response to both everyday and academic issues and briefly introduce them to the types of argument found in the book. In Chapter 2, the crucial

need to separate issue from topic is treated early. As a way to recognize issues and where they arise, this chapter identifies communities we belong to and some issues within these communities. The chapter offers numerous prompts and strategies for exploring an issue, such as prewriting activities that help students make a topic they might initially see as “boring” interesting to them and their readers. Audience focus, emphasized throughout the chapters, is introduced here, and students are presented with practical ways to determine appropriate audiences for their arguments. Arguing at the right time and establishing credibility fill out this chapter.

Part Two begins with the essential work of building clear context for an issue, the focus of Chapter 3. It is here that students are introduced to sources and how to access and use them. We choose to bring in the research process earlier rather than later because building a knowledge base often can enlarge the way we think about an issue, and this can influence what a writer claims and the way an argument is structured. Chapter 4 is geared toward the important work of using resources and how to read and evaluate them critically. As well, this chapter is a primer for working responsibly with borrowed material and ideas. Learning how to recognize and avoid fallacies is the center of Chapter 5. This chapter organizes fallacies—common in advertising and politics—into categories of choice, support, emotion, and inconsistency. Chapter 6 is devoted to the opposition, why it matters, how to work responsibly with it, and finding points of overlap. This chapter, we feel, adds to conventional approaches to opposing points of view.

Part Three treats the how-to of argument building. Chapter 7 helps students develop their argument strategies based on definitions, causes or consequences, comparisons, solution proposals, and evaluations, concluding with a rubric for preparing an exploratory essay. Discussion of Toulmin-based argument makes up Chapter 8. Chapter 9 introduces Rogerian argument, in addition to two less traditional approaches to argument in American classrooms: Middle Ground and Microhistory. We are enthusiastic about students learning to argue from a middle-ground perspective, as this approach insists on a close knowledge of audience and opposition. The middle-ground approach has, in the past few years, been popular among writers looking to escape either—or thinking and instead craft practical positions on complex issues. We are equally enthusiastic about a fourth kind of argument discussed in this chapter—an argument based on a microhistory—where writers work with primary documents and then forge a position apart from conventional understanding of the period in which these documents originate. Chapter 10 is about building arguments. It is example-rich and orients writers to the building blocks of argument—claims, reasons, qualifiers, support, the warrant, backing, and audience reservations. We view this chapter as one writers will use frequently during the drafting process. We elaborate in Chapter 11 on how to use support effectively, and this involves establishing writer credibility, specific appeals to audience, and a rubric for evaluating support brought to an argument.

Part Five is centered in the ideal of ownership, that is, in ways writers can make arguments distinctly their own. Chapter 12 is a discussion of how writers can vary their approaches to an audience using visuals. And Chapter 13 is devoted to writing style and editing. While material in this final chapter is typically relegated to textbooks designed for earlier writing courses, we present this material in the context of argument writing as what we feel are necessary refreshers.

All chapters in Parts One through Four begin with a narrative that describes a real-life issue and conclude with a “Keeping It Local” exercise, pointing out that argument is a practical way to negotiate purposefully issues in everyday and academic life.

Part Five is an anthology of arguments written by everyday people who have stakes in local issues and by professional writers whose commentary on a given issue can provide a larger critical frame. Arguments are followed by questions tied to argument structure, audience, comprehension, and ways to connect concerns in the local community with the broader geopolitical culture. Another level of questions prompts students to acknowledge issues in their own lives that are the same or similar to issues found in the readings.

Part Six is devoted to MLA and APA documentation systems. For each system, guidelines and examples are provided. The important work of documenting carefully material borrowed from other writers and sources is addressed in this section.

## New Features

- Many new essays in the anthology, Part Five, demonstrate how contemporary writers build arguments in response to specific issues affecting the seven communities addressed in *The Purposeful Argument*: school, the workplace, family, neighborhood, social-cultural, consumer, and concerned citizen.
- Part Six, MLA and APA Documentation Systems, now contains a complete APA student essay to accompany the annotated MLA student essay.
- Classic American Arguments anthology has been moved to the e-book/MindTap.

## Key Features

- Writers are encouraged to argue in response to issues in their everyday and academic environments—school, the workplace, family, neighborhood, social-cultural, consumer, and concerned citizen—and thus learn how argument can become an essential negotiating skill in their lives. This book emphasizes local and intellectual issues throughout and provides a methodology for connecting the local with global trends. Importantly, this allows writers to build a strong understanding of an issue by generating broad context.

- Argument structure is presented in practical, how-to ways, complete with exercises, charts, and real-life examples. Ways to organize an argument—Toulmin-based, Rogerian, Middle Ground, and Microhistory options—are fully defined and demonstrated.
- Simplified text format and page layout improve upon conventional argument textbook design by making information direct and accessible.
- Checklists throughout *The Purposeful Argument* provide support for writers as they craft their own arguments.
- Annotated examples of effective arguments illustrate strengths and weaknesses.
- “Your Turn” exercises consist of questions and prompts so that writers can apply argument structure to arguments they are building. “Internet Activity” prompts direct writers to online investigations that connect to the research process.
- “Tips” panels typically are clues for ways of thinking about a feature of argument during the planning process.
- Key terms are bolded throughout the text. A Glossary related to practical argument provides an alphabetized reference for these and other terms found in *The Purposeful Argument*. A term is defined with regard to its function and placement in an argument.

## The Online Program

MindTap English for Phillips/Bostian, *The Purposeful Argument*, is the digital learning solution that gives you complete control of your course—to provide engaging content, challenge every individual, and build students’ confidence. MindTap increases student engagement via peer modeling of critical thinking and writing practices, tutorials on four types of arguments, and immediate feedback on students’ responses to auto-graded activities.

MindTap gives you complete ownership of your content and learning experience. You can add your own comments to the e-book and your own materials to the learning path. You can move, rename, and delete content to ensure that your course is exactly how you want it. An easy-to-use paper management system helps you prevent plagiarism and allows electronic submission, grading, and peer review. Visual analytics track your students’ progress and engagement.

MindTap extends the instruction in the book/e-book with end-of-chapter activities, brand-new tutorials on four types of argument, videos of students working through argument assignments, and additional student and professional readings.

- **New “Argument Tutorials” on Toulmin, Rogerian, Middle-Ground, and Microhistory arguments.** The extensive tutorial on Toulmin argument includes four short video presentations of concepts, interspersed with auto-graded activities that give students step-by-step

help in applying Toulmin. Students can use the “Grade It Now” function in the auto-graded activities to get immediate feedback on their responses. Every activity includes three versions in order to give all students the opportunity to succeed. Slightly shorter tutorials on the other three types of argument follow the same pattern.

- **New “Students Working on Arguments” videos.** Nine new videos showcase reading critically, investigating the rhetorical situation, developing an argument, synthesizing diverse perspectives, revising logical fallacies, using research in argument, investigating the source of information, evaluating a format to determine if a source is “fake news,” and determining the expertise of an author.
- **New “Collaborative Activities” after every chapter.** Each activity for pairs, groups, and the whole class is followed by a Reflection question that individual students can submit in order to demonstrate what they have learned.
- **Revised “Review Activities” after each chapter.** These auto-graded activities provide a comprehension check and a first opportunity to apply chapter concepts. Immediate feedback is available, and each activity includes three versions to give students the best chance to succeed.
- **New “Annotated Student Readings with Discussion Questions.”** Nine student papers are annotated to demonstrate aspects of argument; they are followed by discussion questions.
- **New “Professional Readings with Discussion Questions.”** To supplement the professional readings in Part 5 of the book/e-book, 18 additional readings on contemporary topics are provided. Discussion questions follow.
- **“How-to Research Video Activities.”** 50 video activities on particular aspects of the research-writing process.
- **“Auto-Graded Activities.”** 75+ auto-graded activities on the writing process, essay structure, documentation, grammar, and more.
- **“Just in Time Plus,”** a set of tutorials that provide extra help on 21 foundational topics. Each topic includes a video tutorial, two to four pages of textual instruction, and an auto-graded activity. A diagnostic test helps you determine who needs instruction on specific topics and which topics to discuss in class. A posttest allows you to evaluate students’ progress at semester’s end.
- **“Resources for Teaching”** provides support materials to help you plan and teach your course. A video demonstrates how to customize your MindTap course. In the Instructor’s Manual, author Patricia Bostian shares sample syllabi, assignment rubrics, chapter-by-chapter resources, and questions to jump-start discussions. An Educator’s Guide demonstrates how to use activities in MindTap to enrich your course.

Empower your students to accelerate their progress with MindTap.

- The instructor’s manual provides course-specific organization tools and classroom strategies, including sample syllabi, designs for mapping the course, assignment flow, ways to utilize the book, suggestions for teaching the course online, and ways to best use electronic resources. The center of the guide is a series of rubrics and exercises that can be adapted to an instructor’s work with each chapter.

In sum, *The Purposeful Argument* is a student-centered approach to argument. It is a guide that lets students determine how they can use argument in life and equips them with a concrete, how-to approach. It lets instructors play to their strengths by letting writers work with their strengths—their investment in issues that matter to them in daily and classroom life. From the beginning, the text presents argument in ways that can empower and enable writers to publicly validate what most concerns them.

*The Purposeful Argument* is designed to complement and not overwhelm. The language of *The Purposeful Argument* is friendly and direct. Short, concise paragraphs are the rule; paragraphs are followed immediately by real-life examples, checklists, charts, rubrics, exercises, and sample student writings.

Competent, informed argument is as important today in American life as it was during other crucial periods in our history. It was and is a way to be heard and, when conditions permit, to be granted a seat at the discussion table. While public memory has shaped the way we view extraordinary moments in our past—indigenous peoples’ fate at the hands of colonizers and an aggressive government, debates over sacred and secular ideals, arguments for political independence, the rhetoric of abolition and women’s rights movements, the voice of labor, and the Civil Rights Movement—it is crucial to remember that, in addition to the arguments of accomplished writers, activists, and orators associated with these moments, a turbulence of voices was audible. These were the sounds of everyday people moving the culture forward. Without their contributions, the figures we celebrate now would be footnotes only. The voice of the individual *does* matter. If we choose not to speak up, others will make decisions for us.





# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the many individuals at Cengage for their help with this edition: Nancy Tran, Product Manager; Leslie Taggart, Learning Designer, Anne Alexander and Breanna Robbins, Subject Matter Experts; Kathy Sands-Boehmer, Content Manager; Lizz Anderson, Designer; Tran Pham, Product Assistant; Ann Hoffman, IP Analyst; Betsy Hathaway, IP Project Manager; Camille Beckman, Associate Market Development Manager; and Kina Lara, Marketing Manager.

The astute reviewers for the third edition helped us identify ways to improve our online program. We are grateful for their insight:

**Kerry L. Beckford**  
Tunxis Community College

**Conrad A. Davies, Sr.**  
University of Kentucky

**Ana de La Serna**  
California State University,  
Dominguez Hills

**Lisa M. Russell**  
Georgia Northwestern Technical  
College

We'd also like to thank reviewers from previous editions:

**James Allen**  
College of DuPage

**Marsha Anderson**  
Wharton County Jr. College

**Lynnette Beers-McCormick**  
Santiago Canyon College

**Laura Black**  
Volunteer State Community  
College

**Mary Chen**  
Tacoma Community College

**Kathleen Doherty**  
Middlesex Community College

**Cassie Falke**  
East Texas Baptist University

**Karen Golightly**  
Christian Brothers University

**Nate Gordon**  
Kishwaukee College

**Lauren Hahn**  
DePaul University

**Betty Hart**  
The University of Southern  
Indiana

**Erik Juergensmeyer**  
Fort Lewis College

**Lindsay Lewan**  
Arapahoe Community College

**Theodore Matula**  
University of San Francisco

**Mandy McDougal**  
Volunteer State CC

**Gary Montano**  
Tarrant County College

**Elizabeth Oldfield**  
Southeastern Community  
College

**M. Whitney Olsen**  
Arizona State University

**Amy Ratto Park**  
University of Montana

**Deborah Ruth**  
Owensboro Community and  
Technical College

**Dan Sullivan**  
Davenport University

**Robert Williams**  
Grossmont College

We also wish to thank members of the Advisory Review Board and more than 65 reviewers and focus group participants who contributed steadily to the first edition. Their thoughtful feedback allowed us to refine and improve a range of chapter-specific features of this textbook.

**Susan Achziger**  
Community College of Aurora

**Kara Alexander**  
Baylor University

**Steve Anderson**  
Normandale Community College

**Sonja Andrus**  
Collin College

**Joseph Antinarella**  
Tidewater Community College

**Brad Beachy**  
Butler Community College

**Evelyn Beck**  
Piedmont Technical College

**Jeff Birkenstein**  
Saint Martin's University

**Carol Bledsoe**  
Florida Gulf Coast University

**David Bockoven**  
Linn-Benton Community College

**Ashley Bourne**  
J Sargeant Reynolds Community  
College

**Michael Boyd**  
Illinois Central College

**Marty Brooks**  
John Tyler Community College

**Shanti Bruce**  
Nova Southeastern University

**JoAnn Buck**  
Guilford Technical Community  
College

**Carol Burnell**  
Clackamas Community College

**Anthony Cavaluzzi**  
Adirondack Community College

**Mary Chen-Johnson**  
Tacoma Community College

**Scott Clements**  
Keiser College, Melbourne  
Campus

**Jennifer Courtney**  
University of North Carolina at  
Charlotte

**Susan Davis**  
Arizona State University

- James Decker**  
Illinois Central College
- Tamra DiBenedetto**  
Riverside Community College
- Connie Duke**  
Keiser University
- Keri Dutkiewicz**  
Davenport University
- Sarah M. Eichelman**  
Walters State Community College
- Gareth Euridge**  
Tallahassee Community College
- Jane Focht-Hansen**  
San Antonio College
- MacGregor Frank**  
Guilford Technical Community  
College
- Richard Gilbert**  
Benedictine University of Illinois
- Nate Gordon**  
Kishwaukee College
- Virginia Grant**  
Gaston College
- Valerie Grey**  
Portland Community College
- Annette Hale**  
Motlow State Community College  
(McMinnville Center)
- Pamela Herring**  
Southwest Texas Junior College
- Cheryl Huff**  
Germanna Community College
- Sue Hum**  
University of Texas at San  
Antonio
- Rachel Key**  
Grayson County College
- Jill Lahnstein**  
Cape Fear Community College
- Charlotte Laughlin**  
McLennan Community College
- Gordon Lee**  
Lee College
- Michael Lueker**  
Our Lady of the Lake University
- Anna Maheshwari**  
Schoolcraft College
- Jodie Marion**  
Mt Hood Community College
- Sarah Markgraf**  
Bergen Community College
- Melinda McBee**  
Grayson County College
- Randall McClure**  
Florida Gulf Coast University
- Jeanne McDonald**  
Waubonsee Community College
- Jim McKeown**  
McLennan Community College
- Richard Middleton-Kaplan**  
Harper College
- Gary Montano**  
Tarrant County College
- Jennifer Mooney**  
Wharton County Junior College
- Vicki Moulson**  
College of the Albemarle
- Andrea Muldoon**  
University of Wisconsin-Stout
- Mary Huyck Mulka**  
Minnesota State University  
Moorhead
- Lana Myers**  
Lone Star College

**Marguerite Newcomb**  
University of Texas–San Antonio

**Troy Nordman**  
Butler Community College

**Eden Pearson**  
Des Moines Area Community  
College

**Jason Pickavance**  
Salt Lake Community College

**Paula Porter**  
Keiser University

**Jeff Pruchnic**  
Wayne State University

**Esther Quantrill**  
Blinn College

**Maria Ramos**  
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community  
College

**Arthur Rankin**  
Louisiana State University at  
Alexandria

**Simone Rieck**  
Lone Star College

**Jeffrey Roessner**  
Mercyhurst College

**Ron Ross**  
Portland Community College

**Jennifer Rosti**  
Roanoke College

**Karin Russell**  
Keiser University

**Debbie Ruth**  
Owensboro Community &  
Technical College

**Jamie Sadler**  
Richmond Community College

**John Schaffer**  
Blinn College

**Dixie Shaw-Tillmon**  
The University of Texas at San  
Antonio

**Suba Subbarao**  
Oakland Community College

**Daniel Sullivan**  
Davenport University

**Susan Swanson**  
Owensboro Community and  
Technical College

**Paul Van Heuklom**  
Lincoln Land Community College

**Angie Williams-Chehmani**  
Davenport University

**Will Zhang**  
Des Moines Area Community  
College

**Traci Zimmerman**  
James Madison University

Harry Phillips would like to thank Aron Keesbury, formerly acquisitions editor at Thomson Publishing for his steady encouragement and insightful feedback during the early stages of this project.

Patricia K. Bostian would like to thank her wonderful family for their generous support, particularly her husband Brad for his many wonderful textbook ideas, and her children Wyndham and Rhiannon for allowing her to talk about her ideas with them.

Finally, we want to acknowledge the steady interest our students have shown in argumentative writing over the last 15 years. In truth, it was their authentic interest in the course and their recognition that argument could serve them in daily life that fueled original interest in this project. As teachers, the course inspired us to regularly refine our approaches and, mostly, to listen closely to student writers who sensed, perhaps for the first time, that their private concerns could influence public thinking and decision making. In particular, we are grateful to Linda Gonzalez, Blaine Schmidt, and Ben Szany, among other students, for their willingness to contribute arguments to this textbook.

**Harry R. Phillips**

**Patricia Bostian**



# PART ONE

## How to Approach Argument in Real Life

**CHAPTER 1** Argue With a Purpose

**CHAPTER 2** Explore an Issue  
that Matters to You



All illustrations by iStock.com/A-digit

Copyright 2021 Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved. May not be copied, scanned, or duplicated, in whole or in part. WCN 02-200-203

Copyright 2021 Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved. May not be copied, scanned, or duplicated, in whole or in part. Due to electronic rights, some third party content may be suppressed from the eBook and/or eChapter(s). Editorial review has deemed that any suppressed content does not materially affect the overall learning experience. Cengage Learning reserves the right to remove additional content at any time if subsequent rights restrictions require it.

# CHAPTER 1

## Argue With a Purpose



### Learning Objectives

By working through this chapter, you will be able to

- define argument.
- explain the purposes of argument.
- identify context for an issue via the research process.
- identify why arguments break down.
- determine an appropriate argument type for an argument's purpose.
- form an argument about an issue that matters to you.

**T**his text introduces you to argument and how to use it in response to everyday issues—at school, in the workplace, at home, in your neighborhood, with people who matter to you, in the swirl of community politics, and on a national or global scale. You will be able to use the tools in the following chapters to build practical arguments that make your voice clear and direct on issues in which you have a stake. Skills in argument will help you in your life as a student, a member of the local labor force, a consumer, a concerned citizen, and perhaps a parent and homeowner; in fact, argument can help you address all of the many issues associated with life in these communities.

This chapter is an overview of the nature and purpose of argument. Later chapters address the apparatus of argument—how to craft a claim, build support, work with the opposition, and build other structural elements. Think about argument as a set of tools that lets you negotiate your world with clarity and purpose. The skills you take away from this text,

All illustrations by iStock.com/A-digit







and the work required to complete a class in argument, can transfer to the real world. You may simply be responding to short-term assignments, but in doing so, you will learn to build sound arguments—a skill that will be useful long after your final class project is turned in.

## **What Argument Is and What Argument Is Not**


You are arguing when you claim a point of view on an issue, defend your claim with different kinds of support, and respond fairly to those with differing points of view. Argument is useful when you want to persuade others (decision-makers, fellow classmates, coworkers, a community agency or organization, a special interest group, elected representatives, business leaders, or an individual) to take seriously your point of view; when you want to find out more about something that matters to you; and when you want to establish areas of common interest among different positions. With nearly all arguments, it is essential to establish a clear context for your issue and to have a target audience.

Argument is not about putting yourself in uncomfortable, win-lose, either-or situations. It is not about fighting or trying to shame someone who holds a different point of view. Some people associate argument with anger, raised voices, and emotional outbursts. But when these people behave in competitive, angry, and overly emotional ways, communication is often sealed off and the people involved become alienated from one another. This is not the aim of argument. Argument creates a space where we can listen to each other.

The following essay by Thomas Frank is excerpted from “The Price of Admission.” The full essay appears in the June 2012 issue of *Harper’s*, a magazine that began publication in 1850 and today treats a wide range of issues in literature, politics, culture, finance, and the arts. In the essay, Frank includes a claim, various levels of support, and efforts to build his credibility as one taking a position on the issue of college tuition. Missing from the excerpt, but present in the longer essay, are attention to the opposition, reasons that support the claim, and a warrant, that is, attention to the values that motivate the writer to argue on this issue. The essay is accompanied by an editorial cartoon by R.J. Matson (see Figure 1.1).

### Excerpt from “The Price of Admission”

by Thomas Frank



**Figure 1.1** Editorial cartoon by R.J. Matson

Massive indebtedness changes a person, maybe even more than a college education does, and it’s reasonable to suspect that the politicians who have allowed the tuition disaster to take its course know this. To saddle young people with enormous, inescapable debt — total student debt is now more than one trillion dollars — is ultimately to transform them into profit-maximizing machines. I mean, working as a school-teacher or an editorial assistant at a publishing house isn’t going to help you chip away at that forty grand you owe. You can’t get out of it by

bankruptcy, either. And our political leaders, lost in a fantasy of punitive individualism, certainly won't propose the bailout measures they could take to rescue the young from the crushing burden.

What will happen to the young debtors instead is that they will become *Homo economicus*, whether or not they studied that noble creature. David Graeber, the anthropologist who wrote the soon-to-be-classic *Debt: The First 5,000 Years*, likens the process to a horror movie, in which the zombies or the vampires attack the humans as a kind of recruitment policy. "They turn you into one of them," as Graeber told me.

Actually, they do worse than that. Graeber relates the story of a woman he met who got a Ph.D. from Columbia University, but whose \$80,000 debt load put an academic career off-limits, since adjuncts earn close to nothing. Instead, the woman wound up working as an escort for Wall Street types. "Here's someone who ought to be a professor," Graeber explains, "doing sexual services for the guys who lent her the money."

The story hit home for me, because I, too, wanted to be a professor once. I remember the waves of enlightenment that washed over me in my first few years in college, the ecstasy of finally beginning to understand what moved human affairs this way or that, the exciting sense of a generation arriving at a shared sensibility. Oh, I might have gone on doing that kind of work forever, whether or not it made me rich, if journalism had not intervened.

It's hard to find that kind of ecstasy among the current crop of college graduates. The sensibility shared by their generation seems to revolve around student debt, which has been clamped onto them like some sort of interest-bearing iron maiden. They've been screwed — that's what their moment of enlightenment has taught them.

As for my own cohort, or at least the members of it who struggled through and made it to one of the coveted positions in the knowledge factory, the new generational feeling seems to be one of disgust. Our enthusiasm for learning, which we trumpeted to the world, merely led the nation's children into debt bondage. Consider the remarks of Nicholas Mirzoeff, a professor of media at New York University, who sums up the diminishing returns of the profession on his blog: "I used to say that in academia one at least did very little harm. Now I feel like a pimp for loan sharks."

### *Analyze this Reading*

1. What is the writer's claim, the position the writer takes in response to the issue of student debt?
2. Identify examples the writer uses to support his claim.
3. How does the writer establish his credibility; that is, how does he build trust with readers regarding his competence to take a stand on this issue?

### *Respond to this Reading*

1. The writer contends that political leaders won't make the effort to bail out today's college students from debt. Do you favor a legislative bailout? Explain, and if you don't favor such a bailout, what claim would you make to address the student debt problem?
2. What is your relationship to education and debt? What examples would you use to demonstrate this relationship?
3. If you were to argue on this issue, at what target audience would you aim? Would your audience be officials at your college, your state legislators, your peers, or the members of your community? Explain.

## **Recognize Where Argument Is Appropriate in Real Life**

You'll get to know this guide as a student in a class, one class among many that you need to complete as you move toward your degree, but there is another, equally important way to think about your work with argument—the set of skills you'll acquire and take with you when class is over. Make these skills serve what matters to you, in and beyond the classroom. Whether it's a small group of coworkers, the author of a scholarly article, your local parent–teacher organization, the editor of an online magazine, a car mechanic, or the billing agency for your cell phone or broadband service, you'll have a better chance of being taken seriously when you support your point of view with credible information delivered through a variety of logical, ethical, and emotional appeals.

Vital issues in our lives occur both in the academic world and in the swirl of everyday life. When you have a clear point of view (a claim) about the quality of cafeteria food at your child's school and then justify your claim with effective support, thereby establishing your credibility as a concerned parent, your audience will listen. Similarly, if a teacher in one of your classes asks you to claim a position on the status of immigration reform in your state and you respond by drafting a claim based on thorough research, your argument is likely to fare well when it is evaluated. This is especially true when you come across as well-informed and sensitive to those who might differ from you. And if conditions at work start to resemble positions that were recently outsourced, you're more likely to get the attention of your boss or coworkers when you present a balanced, fair-minded argument that takes into account those who view the issue differently.

In your life as a student, are there issues that involve tuition, lodging, the accessibility of your teachers, course policies, conflicts with your job, and loan opportunities? Are there also intellectual issues in your life as a student that you are asked to respond to, such as genetically engineered food, climate change, and representative government as practiced in our country? And outside the classroom, if your street lacks adequate storm-water facilities, if earlier public-school start times are proposed by the school board and you know

that this will affect your family's schedule, or if a family member has a contrary idea about what makes a sensible budget, a well-crafted argument allows you to move away from emotional arguments (a trap for many) and into the realm of reason, common sense, and community. An emotional argument, on the other hand, lacks the support of a rational approach to an issue and puts in jeopardy your credibility with your target audience. The exact change you want is never a guaranteed outcome of a good argument, but at the very least you will have made your voice audible before an audience that matters to you.

From another perspective, you affect and diversify the particular community you address with an argument. A well-organized argument gets you a seat at the discussion table, whether in the classroom or before your city council. This means that your position on an issue can matter in the local decision-making process (see Figure 1.2). If we say nothing, others will speak for us or make assumptions about us that conflict with who we are and what we value.

## Argue About Issues That Matter to You

Argue about what matters to you as a student and in everyday life. Some people associate argument with dry, abstract issues that may or may not directly affect their lives, but this is an attitude to stay away from. Good writing, and similarly, good argument, spring from the same place—from the effort of everyday people struggling to define and solve problems. A good argument will touch the reader in many ways: logically, because you provide real-life support for your point of view; emotionally, because you touch on something that the reader cares about; and ethically, because you establish your credibility as an informed community member whom your audience can trust.

One way to think about argument is as a practical tool for the regular challenges we face. For example, would it be helpful to know how to present your



**Figure 1.2** Speaking up in response to issues that matter to us is the heart of argument. In this photo, the figure speaking is responding to a workplace issue.

point of view to city and county politicians when repairs on your street are neglected while streets in other areas are taken care of much sooner? Might it be helpful to compose an argument in the form of a letter to a son, daughter, parent, or in-law regarding an important family matter? Do you have an idea about how certain parts of your job can be improved, and would a logical, well-researched proposal directed to a supervisor be a reasonable first step? Do parking problems and a smoking ban at school disturb you, and do you want to find out more about these issues and formulate a claim that is reinforced by careful research? If you answer “yes” to these or similar everyday issues, then this guide can be useful as a way to represent yourself with integrity.

Let’s look, for example, at the issue that begins this chapter and one that nearly all college students contend with these days—increasing tuition rates. Some of us may be compelled to argue on this issue because we’re forced to work more hours during the week to pay for this semester’s tuition, forced to take out loans that mean years of debt after college, and disturbed that our college seems to endorse lending practices that unfairly burden students heading into the world after graduation. A carefully arranged argument gives us the chance to claim a strong position on tuition rates, conduct research on the nature and history of the problem, listen to other points of view, and then propose a way to address the problem reasonably. After choosing to argue on this issue, a reasonable first step would be to establish context and determine your target audience, tasks discussed in the next section.

Another way to think about argument is as a practical tool for the intellectual and academic work you are asked to complete as a student. The steps in developing a good argument are the same, whether you are writing for a class assignment or about an issue in daily life. In both contexts you will need to evolve a precise point of view and then defend it. Successful arguments about the origins of our national debt, same-sex marriage, interpreting constitutional amendments, health-care policy, and the federal government’s relationship with the banking industry are built on the same foundations as arguments responding to the everyday issues of life.

In fact, one measure of good arguments on issues like these is their ability to connect local and global contexts. So much of what comes to us through mainstream news—issues in the fields of medicine, technology, health care, and geopolitics, for example—has its origins beyond our immediate lives and communities. You can of course apply the tools of argument to these issues, and with good success, but arguments on these issues can and should be connected to local contexts, too. The list below is a small sampling of large issues that have local impact.

|   |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Standardized testing                          | Bullying in schools and in the |
| Gun laws                                      | workplace                      |
| LGBTQ adoption rights                         | Choice and public schools      |
| Racial equity and food systems                | Taser guns in public schools   |
| Promotion practices in the nursing profession |                                |



|   |                                     |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Immigration reform and local business   | Sex offenders in the community      |
| Big box construction and local business | Fossil fuels                        |
| Confederate monuments                   | Climate crisis                      |
| Living wage proposals                   | Sexual harassment                   |
| High school dropout rates               | Photo-ID voting requirements        |
| Opioids                                 | Campaign finance reform             |
| Local job outsourcing                   | Locally-grown food                  |
| Local transit                           | Returning veterans and health-care  |
| The elderly and nursing home care       | Energy rate hikes                   |
| Crowded classrooms                      | Health-care and non-native speakers |
| Eminent domain and home owners          | Election cybersecurity              |
|   | Health insurance                    |
|   | Gentrification and evictions        |
|   | Payday lending                      |

In today's world, we all face multiple demands as we move through our day. Combine this busyness with the sheer scale of many of the issues we face—the climate crisis, the wealth gap, health care, surveillance, data confiscation, immigration, and military intervention—and it can be tough to believe that articulating our point of view on an issue is worth the effort or makes any difference. But it *can* make a difference, and building a good argument is a way to exercise some control over your life and establish your influence in the community. When your well-planned argument articulates your view on an issue in a thorough and compelling manner, you can generate confidence in yourself and respect from your audience. A sound argument does not, of course, guarantee that your issue will be resolved or that substantial change will result, but you can define for yourself exactly where you stand. For a democracy to remain healthy, it must function in large part by individuals responding to the forces that global environments put in our way.

Well-crafted argument is a way to represent yourself publicly with dignity and in an informed, fair, and open-minded way. Learn these skills now, and you'll have them forever.


**your turn 1a**

### **GET STARTED** Acknowledge Issues That Matter to You

Make a list of issues that concern you today. Include issues in your personal life, your workplace, your school, your church, a group you belong to, your neighborhood, and your town or city. As you make your list, consider also national and global issues that affect your life, such as conflicts in other countries, environmental concerns, or fuel costs. As a way to narrow your focus to issues most important to you, respond to the following questions.